



FY2025 Federal and Defense Contractors Moore County

County	Business	Registered State	Contracting	Actions
Moore	PREMIER TECHNOLOGY, INC.	Idaho	\$9,303,217.53	11
Moore	MACGYVER SOLUTIONS, INC.	North Carolina	\$8,339,997.55	155
Moore	CARAPACE ARMOR TECHNOLOGY, LLC	Massachusetts	\$6,587,803.85	6
Moore	K2K9 SOLUTIONS LLC	North Carolina	\$1,230,000.00	3
Moore	PINEHURST OPCO, LLC	North Carolina	\$936,000.00	1
Moore	K2 SOLUTIONS, INC	North Carolina	\$723,247.26	5
Moore	K2 SOLUTIONS INC	North Carolina	\$548,981.20	1
Moore	INTEGRATED TACTICAL TECHNOLOGIES LLC	North Carolina	\$450,000.00	1
Moore	ECONOMED OF ABERDEEN INC	North Carolina	\$432,156.04	11
Moore	PREDICT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC	North Carolina	\$395,545.00	1
Moore	SANDHILLS ORTHOTICS LLC	North Carolina	\$302,839.19	11
Moore	QUANTICO TACTICAL INCORPORATED	North Carolina	\$154,574.97	5
Moore	INDIGO RIDGE FARM LLC	Virginia	\$83,516.00	1
Moore	TOUGH STUMP TECHNOLOGIES, LLC	North Carolina	\$56,230.00	1
Moore	ACCELERATED TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES, LLC	North Carolina	\$53,040.58	2
Moore	4D HEALTH SCIENCE, LLC	North Carolina	\$ -	1



Moore	BSE PERFORMANCE, LLC	Arizona	\$ -	1
Moore	CORIA CONTRACTING INC.	Oregon	\$ -	1
MOORE	TOTAL		\$29,597,149.17	218



**FY25 Federal and Department of Defense Spending
By North Carolina County**

All Federal Spending			Department of Defense Spending		
Federal County	Federal	Federal Total Dollars	DoD County	DoD	DoD Total Dollars
CUMBERLAND	3301	\$ 1,890,505,862.29	CUMBERLAND	2752	\$ 1,420,662,717.12
BUNCOMBE	1581	\$ 1,239,592,115.85	BUNCOMBE	353	\$ 996,820,344.13
ONSLOW	2492	\$ 1,153,191,033.11	ONSLOW	2356	\$ 726,482,540.39
DURHAM	51692	\$ 1,017,073,824.46	CRAVEN	9329	\$ 454,079,992.31
CRAVEN	9442	\$ 515,036,894.17	RUTHERFORD	82	\$ 438,342,856.78
WAKE	6786	\$ 486,871,700.27	MCDOWELL	33	\$ 370,602,916.27
RUTHERFORD	91	\$ 438,482,867.70	WAKE	5467	\$ 353,363,281.07
MCDOWELL	66	\$ 387,281,285.68	MECKLENBURG	7914	\$ 215,189,378.91
MECKLENBURG	8685	\$ 348,543,164.19	NEW HANOVER	1055	\$ 201,665,468.29
HENDERSON	4159	\$ 272,037,648.71	ASHE	7	\$ 165,222,802.35
NEW HANOVER	2226	\$ 217,916,273.11	IREDELL	961	\$ 148,545,993.66
PASQUOTANK	702	\$ 205,507,957.45	WATAUGA	13	\$ 146,401,007.89
WATAUGA	24	\$ 195,891,259.07	MITCHELL	9	\$ 130,916,203.92
ASHE	11	\$ 166,277,547.26	POLK	15	\$ 114,182,568.80
BRUNSWICK	432	\$ 164,130,784.82	TRANSYLVANIA	15	\$ 106,230,356.03
IREDELL	985	\$ 150,072,707.74	BRUNSWICK	226	\$ 104,870,764.98
TRANSYLVANIA	204	\$ 139,577,686.90	UNION	335	\$ 78,754,532.08
MITCHELL	14	\$ 132,249,847.44	DURHAM	683	\$ 74,407,555.49
POLK	23	\$ 114,324,737.42	GUILFORD	65177	\$ 71,005,905.22
GUILFORD	65582	\$ 108,246,351.21	PITT	34618	\$ 56,944,530.21
FORSYTH	367	\$ 78,201,916.84	WAYNE	1276	\$ 46,345,209.33
UNION	413	\$ 77,437,350.20	RICHMOND	47	\$ 45,777,253.79
CARTERET	342	\$ 76,139,712.78	NASH	1153	\$ 42,584,713.82
CATAWBA	280	\$ 55,313,312.99	JOHNSTON	5656	\$ 40,426,569.91
VANCE	68	\$ 52,907,709.84	CARTERET	165	\$ 40,059,106.19
ROCKINGHAM	193	\$ 50,489,866.58	ROCKINGHAM	104	\$ 37,714,243.72
ROWAN	1252	\$ 49,551,077.74	WILKES	22	\$ 34,806,881.12
ALAMANCE	274	\$ 46,524,019.11	DARE	56	\$ 33,240,378.49
WAYNE	1300	\$ 46,428,444.32	WARREN	3	\$ 32,918,860.72
RICHMOND	85	\$ 46,167,780.55	WILSON	715	\$ 32,656,498.43
PITT	37939	\$ 45,694,951.94	FORSYTH	169	\$ 23,290,078.46
NASH	1164	\$ 45,589,996.40	MOORE	49	\$ 19,077,024.23
ORANGE	476	\$ 44,825,167.75	ALAMANCE	153	\$ 18,253,104.56
JOHNSTON	5709	\$ 42,705,062.01	BLADEN	666	\$ 14,767,894.20
DARE	173	\$ 42,641,080.83	HENDERSON	444	\$ 14,390,877.29
WILKES	29	\$ 35,147,419.23	CLEVELAND	60	\$ 13,639,125.47
WILSON	729	\$ 33,737,972.59	GASTON	17	\$ 12,630,994.92
WARREN	3	\$ 32,918,860.72	STANLY	111	\$ 11,049,847.82
ROBESON	60	\$ 32,593,972.65	SWAIN	11	\$ 10,701,599.76
MOORE	218	\$ 29,597,149.17	VANCE	2	\$ 8,326,279.88
HOKE	871	\$ 24,417,018.87	MACON	16	\$ 7,742,199.24
JONES	3	\$ 21,796,499.43	ALLEGHANY	7	\$ 7,339,455.20
GASTON	73	\$ 19,433,586.65	PASQUOTANK	206	\$ 7,037,697.65
BLADEN	669	\$ 19,099,258.80	HARNETT	61	\$ 6,448,972.89
SWAIN	53	\$ 18,681,392.46	CABARRUS	102	\$ 6,106,207.57
ALLEGHANY	14	\$ 17,554,313.63	CATAWBA	55	\$ 5,782,419.50
GRANVILLE	3167	\$ 16,612,923.67	ORANGE	66	\$ 4,791,387.30
CLEVELAND	75	\$ 15,790,969.61	BURKE	58	\$ 4,737,107.35
MACON	80	\$ 14,097,050.62	HOKE	201	\$ 4,373,380.61

STANLY	471	\$	11,576,491.72	SURRY	53	\$	3,444,521.68
MADISON	27	\$	10,142,501.52	CHEROKEE	7	\$	2,814,242.14
CABARRUS	136	\$	9,865,638.68	LENOIR	59	\$	1,789,516.44
AVERY	13	\$	9,427,150.92	CHOWAN	7	\$	1,432,875.43
HARNETT	87	\$	8,443,600.93	PERQUIMANS	3	\$	1,398,357.00
PAMLICO	12	\$	8,189,371.76	HAYWOOD	3	\$	1,248,428.00
DUPLIN	24	\$	7,256,944.07	DAVIDSON	22	\$	1,095,261.90
GRAHAM	15	\$	6,934,399.91	GRANVILLE	53	\$	952,178.17
LENOIR	80	\$	5,741,359.49	CHATHAM	41	\$	903,148.61
CHATHAM	82	\$	5,528,918.38	LINCOLN	53	\$	881,830.36
HAYWOOD	26	\$	5,318,560.08	DUPLIN	9	\$	836,473.67
BURKE	62	\$	4,793,519.93	RANDOLPH	12	\$	811,930.35
HYDE	18	\$	3,809,828.35	SCOTLAND	14	\$	688,084.86
SURRY	53	\$	3,444,521.68	ALEXANDER	4	\$	672,998.46
CHEROKEE	21	\$	3,434,112.69	COLUMBUS	15	\$	578,979.00
CURRITUCK	38	\$	3,395,253.99	ROBESON	13	\$	444,468.56
DAVIDSON	45	\$	3,378,653.04	CURRITUCK	19	\$	407,670.29
HALIFAX	25801	\$	3,059,895.46	CASWELL	3	\$	263,513.22
ALEXANDER	7	\$	2,625,999.66	PERSON	13	\$	259,769.10
LEE	33	\$	2,318,480.83	ROWAN	8	\$	242,077.81
SCOTLAND	35	\$	2,078,106.54	DAVIE	14	\$	161,140.00
CHOWAN	17	\$	1,721,797.79	PAMLICO	5	\$	153,634.50
PERQUIMANS	4	\$	1,662,245.60	BEAUFORT	58	\$	153,273.18
CASWELL	4	\$	1,587,334.02	AVERY	3	\$	152,652.56
STOKES	1	\$	1,428,768.00	HYDE	3	\$	150,884.50
RANDOLPH	17	\$	1,024,495.35	EDGECOMBE	4	\$	129,516.58
CALDWELL	44	\$	991,618.81	FRANKLIN	5	\$	103,086.50
LINCOLN	57	\$	927,168.33	HALIFAX	6	\$	79,255.35
PENDER	76	\$	821,281.05	SAMPSON	8	\$	59,442.00
CAMDEN	13	\$	777,642.83	CALDWELL	6	\$	49,894.06
YANCEY	9	\$	727,456.48	PENDER	64	\$	44,446.35
CLAY	5	\$	714,194.91	LEE	3	\$	41,599.12
ANSON	4	\$	700,200.00	TYRRELL	1	\$	15,280.00
COLUMBUS	16	\$	578,979.00	JACKSON	1	\$	14,185.00
BEAUFORT	73	\$	510,787.26	CLAY	1	\$	-
PERSON	21	\$	372,043.09	MONTGOMERY	2	\$	(8,978,764.00)
FRANKLIN	10	\$	309,990.33	TOTAL	143,646	\$	6,975,202,936.07
JACKSON	18	\$	276,078.35				
MARTIN	1	\$	170,691.00				
DAVIE	15	\$	161,140.00				
EDGECOMBE	8	\$	146,230.63				
SAMPSON	42	\$	130,560.08				
TYRRELL	10	\$	127,204.50				
NORTHAMPTON	1	\$	10,485.20				
GATES	3	\$	1,638.71				
BERTIE	1	\$	150.00				
WASHINGTON	1	\$	-				
YADKIN	4	\$	(88,964.83)				
MONTGOMERY	21	\$	(8,237,757.65)				
TOTAL	242,159	\$	10,603,224,155.30				

Information within this report is subject to the data and transaction integrity of the System for Award Management (SAM.gov). Multiple entries for the same company likely result from changes and/or modifications to contracts during the period of performance. Negative value entries normally reflect work remaining to be performed, work in progress or modifications requested by the government and/or the vendor for unnecessary or delayed work. Negative values may also result from de-obligation of funds due to performance, non-performance or other contract actions, potentially during a previous fiscal year (resulting in negative values for the current fiscal year). While a single contractor may have a negative value, the county may be positive overall, due to contract actions with other contractors. Conversely, while a county may have an overall negative value due to a high negative by even a single contractor, many of the contractors within the county may have had positive contract revenues.